

LAWSUIT ON COVID-19 SWABS

The undersigned ...

PREMISE

1) With a joint statement Dr. Fabio Franchi, M.D, Expert in Infectious Disease and Virology; Dr. Antonietta Gatti Scientist, Expert in Nanopathologies, Dr. Stefano Montanari, Pharmacist Scientific researcher and Nanopathologist, and Prof. Stefano Scoglio, Scientific Researcher, Nobel Prize Candidate for Medicine 2018; found that the results of the swab tests are completely unreliable and that "...to continue to use swabs tests in order to obtain data to justify the proclamations of the state of emergency, individual or group quarantines, and personal limitations and lockdowns, from schools to businesses to families, it is a practice with no scientific basis whatsoever."

2) In particular, Prof. Stefano Scoglio (Ph.D., B.Sc.) coordinated the expert activities and carried out the study "COVID-19 SWAB TESTS PRODUCE UP TO 95% OF FALSE POSITIVE: CERTIFIED BY THE ISTITUTO SUPERIORE DI SANITÀ. With the most complete analysis on Covid-19 swab TESTS "(doc. 4).

3) IN PARTICULAR, THE ABOVE EXPERTS HAVE HIGHLIGHTED:

a) to have ascertained, from a document of the European Commission and one of the Istituto Superiore di Sanità, that the types of swab tests circulating in Europe as of May 16, 2020 were 78, none of which were authorized, evaluated or validated;

b) having found, from the same documents, that most of the same swab tests are also devoid of the declaration of the gene sequences they contain;

c) by the same admission of the American Center for Disease Control and Prevention (doc. 3) and of the Covid Working Group of the EU Commission, the SARS-Cov2 virus (doc. 2), allegedly responsible for Covid-19, has never been isolated or physio-chemically quantified;

d) The pathogenic liquids used as a model for gene sequencing had no virus titration or quantification, which implies that these liquids contained billions of other viral-like particles (including non-pathogenic extracellular vesicles naturally present in our organism);

This means that there is no specific marker of the virus to date, and therefore no standard that can make reliable swab tests.

e) The swab tests currently in circulation, over 100, are exempted from the controls provided for by the European law on medical devices of 1997;

f) At the same time, they are not yet subject to the new European standard of 2017, which will only come into force in May 2022;

g) This regulatory limbo makes manufacturers free to circulate any type of device without any control. This means that the conformity of the swab tests produced to the standards that can guarantee their correct effectiveness is today not verified.

h) There are numerous studies that attest to the continuous mutation of the virus, and the health authorities themselves recognize that if the virus continues to mutate, the swab tests become useless.

i) There are almost 150,000 different sequences of the SARS-Cov-2 virus in the GISAID virus database; they were 70,000 in April; and they continue to grow, because new mutations are always found, and that makes the circulating swab tests completely useless. On this point, see the scientific research of Dr. Scoglio that analyzes this essential element.

This means that the circulating tests, even if they were to be considered abstractly effective and actually compliant with the legislation, are completely useless as they cannot ascertain the mutations.

j) There is also a substantial problem related to the methodology used in the swab tests, the RT-PCR. As the leading experts of this methodology underline, to work properly this methodology should ideally use between 20 and 30 PCR cycles; however, it should never exceed 35 cycles, because above this threshold the PCR begins to create completely random sequences. Well, as confirmed by several documents that we enclose, almost all swabs exceed 35-40 cycles on average, and are therefore to be considered completely ineffective and productive of false positives.

k) Finally, as explained in a recent document from the Istituto Superiore di Sanità, the effectiveness of swab tests depends on 3 factors: sensitivity, or the ability to detect the presence of generic RNA; the specificity, that is the ability to limit this RNA to the specific one of the virus being searched; and the prevalence, or the presence of viral disease in the population. This is because the higher the prevalence, the greater the circulation of the virus, and therefore the greater the possibility of detecting it. To date, the prevalence in Italy, which in true pandemics can reach up to 30% of the population, is 0.1%; and even if it were to be increased 10 times, it would still be a negligible level of prevalence; which means, based on a table of the authoritative international organization FIND taken from the ISS, that the medium performance swab tests, in Italy, produce around 85% -90% of false positives.

In essence, the COVID-19 SWAB TESTS, PHARYNGEAL OR NASAL, HAS NO DIAGNOSTIC VALUE WHATSOEVER.

CONSIDERATIONS

A) On the basis of the swab tests in question, in recent months ALARMING DATA HAVE BEEN SPREAD relating to:

- 1) number of deaths from Covid;
- 2) number of people infected with Covid hospitalized;
- 3) number of asymptomatic people infected with Covid.

B) Based on the results of the same tests, MEASURES HAVE BEEN ISSUED:

- 1) limiting personal freedom by imposing quarantine measures;
- 2) limiting the freedom of movement between regions and within the national territory;

- 3) limiting the freedom to enter the national territory or the regional territory;
- 4) which weighed heavily on public spending and the national economy.

C) On the basis of the same tests the following have been compromised:

- 1) the right to education;
- 2) the right to access public health;
- 3) the right to access kindergartens and nursery schools;
- 4) the right to work;
- 5) the freedom of private economic initiative.

These circumstances have in fact generated heavy repercussions on the general economy of Italy and have irremediably compromised production activities and in particular small and medium-sized enterprises as well as all “VAT numbers” workers. Likewise, employees, directly or indirectly, have suffered significant economic repercussions. In general, every citizen has suffered enormous damage both of an economic and relational nature as well as on a personal biological level, especially in reference to the high level of anxiety and fear determined in the whole population.

Given the above considerations, and the facts set out above, the undersigned, as better generalized above,

THEY ASK

that this addressed Authority will make appropriate investigations regarding the facts as detailed in the narrative, evaluating any criminal and unlawful profiles and, if necessary, identify the possible responsible subjects in order to proceed against them.

With this statement it is intended to formulate a complaint-lawsuit, again in relation to the facts described above, and in the event that the investigations carried out by the competent Authorities should reveal offenses for which the law requires admissibility on the base of a party complaint, in order to obtain the sentencing of those who will be held responsible and the COMPENSATION FOR the PERSONAL DAMAGES suffered by reason of the circumstances indicated under A), B) and C) of the considerations.

The undersigned also ask to be warned, pursuant to articles 405 and 408 c.p.p. in the event that Your Lordship wishes to request an extension of the terms of the preliminary investigations or to proceed to the cassation of this complaint.

The undersigned also reserve the right to integrate the oral and documentary evidence and appoint the lawyer, of the Court of, with office in

The following documents are filed:

- 1) EU test validation in Working document test performance 16 April 2020;
- 2) ISS Covid tests Part 2 [https --- www.epicentro.iss.it-coronavirus-pdf-covid-report-19-46-2020](https://www.epicentro.iss.it-coronavirus-pdf-covid-report-19-46-2020);

- 3) CDC 2019-Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Real-Time RT-PCR Diagnostic Panel updated on 13-07-2020;
- 4) Prof. Stefano Scoglio - About Covid-19 Tampons;
- 5) FIND evaluation update - SARS-CoV-2 molecular diagnostics - FIND;
- 6) Joint declaration of the Experts;

Date

Place

Signatures